

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/977,511		VAJJHALA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kenneth Tang		2195		

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Dennis R. Haszko (Reg. No. 39,575).

Date of Interview: 8/21/06

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: After Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

31-34

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


It was agreed to amend claims 31-34 to overcome 35 USC 101 issues.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 KENNETH TANG
 PATENT EXAMINER
 GROUP 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)